MAR 1 2005

MAR 1 2005

MAR 1 2005

MAR 1 2005

MAR 2 1 2005

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)

Name of applicant, assignee or registered representative

March 9, 2005

Date of Signature

March 9, 2005

Date of Signature

PATENT Case No. AUS920010193US1 (9000/34)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

THEW S.
nit: 3625

PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 1.181(a)

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Please enter the following remarks.

March 9, 2005 Case No.: AUS920010193US1 (9000/34)

> Serial No.: 09/821,066 Filed: March 29, 2001

Page 2 of 3

On February 9, 2004, the PTO mailed a document that was later alleged to be a Final Rejection. However, the document received by Applicant (attached as Exhibit A) is not a final rejection for this application, but instead a Notice of Abandonment for United States Patent Application 09/585,859 for Chen, Li-Heng.

In response to this notice, Applicant's counsel promptly contacted the Examiner on February 16, 2004 to request mailing of the correct office action for this application. In response, the Examiner courteously agreed to do so, and issued an interview summary on February 19 (mailed February 24, 2004 and attached as Exhibit B) indicating that the final office action would be remailed, and "the time has been reset to expire from the mailing date of the remailing." As shown by PAIR (printout from March 9, 2005, attached as Exhibit C), the final office action was never remailed.

From November 2004 through January 2005, Applicant's counsel has had numerous conversations with both the Examiner and his supervisor. Applicant greatly appreciates the assistance provided by both the Examiner and Supervisor Coggins, both of whom indicate that the application is currently abandoned and suggested that Applicant file this instant paper.

Applicant has not received and has been denied the opportunity to respond to an office action, and has not delayed prosecution in this matter. Applicant contends that the application is not in fact abandoned as there is a dispute as to controlling dates. Applicant contends that no controlling date exists.

In light of the fact that this petition has been rendered necessary by PTO error, Applicant requests that no fee be charged.

March 9, 2005 Case No.: AUS920010193US1 (9000/34)

> Serial No.: 09/821,066 Filed: March 29, 2001

Page 3 of 3

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully requests that any holding of abandonment be withdrawn for this matter. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: March 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted, Keith Ky Treiu Ho

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201

Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113 Frank C. Nicholas Registration No. 33,983

Attorney for Applicants



United States Patent and Trademark Office

900/30 MW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 5343 03/29/2001 Keith Ky Trieu Ho AUS920010193U1 09/821,066 **EXAMINER** 7590 02/09/2004 **GART, MATTHEW S** Frank C. Nicholas CARDINAL LAW GROUP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201 3625

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
MAR 2 1 2005
GROUP 3600

RECEIVED

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

FEB 1 1 2004

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

EXHIBIT A

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/585 859	CHEN, LI-HENG	3		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nga B. Nguyen	3628	MW		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	,			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee ar from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-		the statutory period	d of three months		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory particular (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	ce of \$ is due.		•		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r	not been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as rec Allowability (PTO-37).	quired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	otice of		
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	he attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire	interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity u	inder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla		se the period for se	eking court review		
7. The reason(s) below:					
Examiner confirmed the abandonment of the appli conversation on January 21, 2004.	cation with the attorney Kurt F. Ja HYUNG S SUPERVISORY PAT TECHNOLOGY :	DOLIGH TON'T EXAMINER	lephone		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd			e promptly filed to		



United States Patent and Trademark Office

9000/34

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/821,066	03/29/2001	Keith Ky Trieu Ho	AUS920010193U1	5343	
75	90 02/24/2004		EXAM	INER	
Frank C. Nicholas			GART, MATTHEW S		
CARDINAL LA	AW GROUP Avenue, Suite 2000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Evanston, IL 60201			3625		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2000	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

MAR 2 1 2005

GROUP 3600

RECEIVED

FEB 2 6 2004

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

Interview Summary	09/821,066	HO, KEITH KY	RIEU6		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew s Gart	3625	MW		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Matthew s Gart</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Paul Hletko</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>16 February 2003</u> .			-		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		,			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. <	g)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ f	N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: A final office action (papattorney alledges that he never received a copy of the final matched correspondance. The examiner agreed to remail been reset to expire from the mailing data of the remailing. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse sections.	er no. 5) was mailed to the attall office action (paper no. 5), but a copy of the final office action. I a copy of the final office action. I a copy of the amendments that was already at the mailed part of the action has already at the MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	orney on 2/9/200 ut instead receive in (paper no. 5). greed would render would render the E SUBSTANCE (by been filed, APP IS INTERVIEW S	4. The ed a mis- Time has er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS		
	Heffrey A. Smith Primary Examine	r			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required			

Application No.

Applicant(s)



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

SUBMIT

Correspondence Address Chai

IFW Scan & PACR Auto Secu

Initial Exam Team nn



United States Patent and Trademark Office

Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz Alerts | News | Help

Application Number

Porta	l Home
-------	--------

Patent Applications

_ =

Patent Application Information Retrieval

Select Search Method: Enter Number:

Patent Application Information (PAIR)
PAIR Frequently Asked Questions

PAIR Help

Search Class/Subclass/GAU

Manual of Patent Classification
 Secure eBusiness

- Patent EBC
 Customer Numbers
 Digital Certificates
- Electronic Filing
- Private PAIR

Glossary

Patent eBusiness Support/Help

System Alerts

Viewer Download: PDF

About Patents

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure

Patent Assistance Center

- Employee Locator Search
 Valid Location Codes
 Telephone Directory
- Fees

Pay online (RAM)

Inventor Resources

News, Federal Register & OG Notices
PTDL Program - libraries near

you

Registered Patent Attorneys & Agents

Patent Searches

|- D

<u>Search Patents/Patent Applications</u> - full text & images

How to View Patent Images

Search Assignments - OWNErShip

Search International Patents

Other Search Resources & Tips

Sequence Searches (biotech)

Software for Sequence Listings

Order Copies & Publications
Products & Services





EXHIBIT C

05-09-2001

04-13-2001

03-29-2001

The Patent Electronic Business Center is available to assist with PAIF

.09/821,066 Method and system for inventory managemen Published Publication ApplicationTransaction Address & History Data Documents Dates Attorney/Agent Date Conter 02-24-2004 Mail Examiner Interview Sum 02-19-2004 Examiner Interview Summary F 02-09-2004 Mail Final Rejection (PTOL -: 02-06-2004 Final Rejection 01-29-2004 **Date Forwarded to Examiner** 01-23-2004 Response after Non-Final Actic 10-23-2003 Mail Non-Final Rejection 10-20-2003 Non-Final Rejection 04-23-2002 Case Docketed to Examiner i 04-09-2002 Case Docketed to Examiner in 03-29-2001 Information Disclosure State 07-13-2001 Case Docketed to Examiner in 05-11-2001 Application Dispatched from

http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/!ut/p/_s.7_0_A/7_0_CH/.cmd/ad/.ar/sa.getBib/.c/6_0_... 3/9/2005

Copyrights
Policy & Law
Reports
Trademarks

Home | Site Index | Search | eBusiness | Help | Privacy Policy